# **2003 EQIP LOCAL CRITERIA WORKSHEET – Newton County**

# Client Name Tract Number

### **Soil Erosion**

#### Circle YES or NO

- 1. Do 50% or more of the soils in the offered acres have a wind erosion index greater than or equal to 134? **YES NO**
- 2. Are there ephemeral or classic gullies present on the offered acres? YES NO
- 3. Are there C slopes (6%-12%) or greater on the offered acres? **YES NO**
- 4. Will the EQIP plan address multiple state resource concerns? **YES NO**
- 5. Does the offered acreage fall into the Iroquois River Watershed? See attached map. **YES NO**
- 6. Do the offered acres touch lakes, rivers, streams or open ditches? YES NO
- 7. Is livestock present on the offered acres? **YES NO**
- 8. Do the offered acres contain any HEL soil mapping units? YES NO

- 6-8 answered "YES" = HIGH
- 3-5 answered "YES" = MEDIUM
- 0-2 answered "YES" = LOW

ENTED LOCAL	RANKING HERE	
ENTER LUCAL	RANKING HERE	

## 2003 EQIP LOCAL CRITERIA WORKSHEET

# Client Name Tract Number

### **Forest Management**

#### Circle YES or NO

- 1. Is the EQIP plan going to connect existing forests? YES NO
- 2. Will the plan include Timber Stand Improvement? NOTE: To get credit for this question the producer must implement this practice with EQIP money if funded.

YES NO

- 3. Is livestock present on offered acres? YES NO
- 4. Will the EQIP plan address multiple state resource concerns? YES NO
- 5. Does the offered acres fall into a 14 digit HUC that is on the 303(d) list for impaired water bodies? Use state resource concern map 3w. YES NO
- 6. Are there C slopes (6%-12%) or greater on the offered acres? YES NO
- 7. Will trees be planted on the offered acres? YES NO
- 8. Will the offered acres have soils with slopes where forestation would be beneficial? Use state resource concern map 2f. **YES NO**

- 6-8 answered "YES" = HIGH
- 3-5 answered "YES" = MEDIUM
- 0-2 answered "YES" = LOW

ENTER LOCAL	RANKING	HERE
-------------	---------	------

## 2003 EQIP LOCAL CRITERIA WORKSHEET

Client Name Tract Number

### **Livestock Management**

Circle YES or NO

- 1. Do livestock have direct access to lakes, rivers, streams, open ditches, riparian areas and/or forests? **YES NO**
- 2. Will the EQIP plan address multiple state resource concerns? YES NO
- 3. Are the offered acres within the 14 digit HUC of stream listed on the 303d list for non-point source pollutants? Refer to Map 2W for water quality statewide concern.

YES NO

- 4. Do 50% of the soils in the offered acres have a Leach Potential greater than or equal to 10? YES NO
- 5. Is the EQIP plan going to address an existing problem and not for the sole purpose of expansion? YES NO
- 6. Are the offered acres in the Curtis Creek Watershed? See attached Map

YES NO

7. Do the offered acres touch or contain lakes, rivers, streams, and/or open ditches?

YES NO

8. Is the EQIP plan going to address air quality concerns? YES NO

- 6-8 answered "YES" = HIGH
- 3-5 answered "YES" = MEDIUM
- 0-2 answered "YES" = LOW

## 2003 EQIP LOCAL CRITERIA WORKSHEET

Client Name Tract Number

# **Water Quality**

Circle YES or NO

- 1. Do the offered acreage touch lakes, rivers, streams or open ditches? YES NO
- 2. Is there a well on the offered acreage? YES NO
- 3. Will the EQIP plan address multiple statewide concerns? **YES NO**
- 4. Are there ephemeral or classic gullies present on offered acres? YES NO
- 5. Do the offered acres fall into a 14 digit HUC that is on the 303(d) list for impaired water bodies for non point source pollutants Use state resource concern map 2w.

YES NO

- 6. Do 50% of the soils in the offered acres have a leach index greater than or equal to 10? YES NO
- 7. Do the offered acres fall into an area where soil types indicate vulnerable groundwater resources. Use state resource concern map 3w. **YES NO**
- 8. Do livestock have direct access to lakes, rivers, streams or open ditches? YES NO

- 6-8 answered "YES" = HIGH
- 3-5 answered "YES" = MEDIUM
- 0-2 answered "YES" = LOW

FNTFD		RANKING HERE	
	1 A A E	RAINKING FIRKE	